SOUT	ED STATES DISTRICT COURT THERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	X	
	ACE ABNEY,	Λ	
WILS	-against- I MCGINNIS, MARIO MALVAROSA, I ON, ANN ARCKERT, MICHAEL DIPC I and JANE DOE,		PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO MICHAEL DIPOMPO'S LOCAL RULE 56.1 STATEMENT 01 Civ. 8444 (SAS) (FM)
	Pursuant to Local Rule 56.1(c) of the Lo		
for the	e Southern and Eastern Districts of New Y	York, plaintiff H	orace Abney hereby sets forth his
respon	nse to Michael DiPompo's Local Rule 56.	1 Statement:	
1.	Admit.		
2.	Admit.		
3.	Admit.		
4.	Admit.		
5.	Deny. The document cited by defendant	t does not suppo	ort his statement of fact.
6.	Admit.		
7.	Admit.		
8.	Admit.		
9.	Admit.		
10.	Admit.		
11.	Admit.		

12.	Admit.		
13.	Admit.		
14.	Admit.		
15.	Admit.		
16.	Deny to the extent there is an implication that these were the only services provided by		
DiPompo.			
17.	Deny. The deposition page cited by defendant does not support his statement of fact.		
18.	Admit.		
19.	Admit.		
20.	Admit.		
21.	Admit.		
22.	Deny. Plaintiff was not advised to break in any footwear for approximately two years. (Pl.		
Tr. at 42-43.)			
23.	Admit/Deny. The deposition pages cited by defendant do not mention walking shoes.		
24.	Admit.		
25.	Admit.		
26.	Admit.		
27.	Admit.		
28.	Deny. The orthotic did not fit well within the new boots. (Pl. Decl. \P 8.)		
29.	Admit.		
30.	Deny. Plaintiff did not tell DiPompo that the orthoses cracked while he was playing		
basketball. (Pl. Decl. ¶ 4.)			
31.	Deny. The orthoses were never functional. (Pl. Tr. at 76-77.)		

- 32. **Deny.** The deposition page cited by defendant does not support his statement of fact.
- 33. **Admit/Deny.** The document cited by defendant does not state that the orthoses were fitted to the boots.
- 34. **Deny.** The orthotic did not fit well within the boots. (Pl. Decl. ¶ 8.)
- 35. **Admit.**
- 36. **Admit.**
- 37. **Deny.** Plaintiff did not request that DiPompo give him a smaller pair of boots. (Pl. Decl. ¶
- 3.)
- 38. **Admit/Deny.** DiPompo did not "agree" to provide smaller boots; plaintiff had not requested them. (*Id.*)
- 39. **Admit.**
- 40. **Deny.** The boots and orthoses did not fit to plaintiff's satisfaction. (Pl. Decl. ¶ 8; Pl. Tr. at 76-77.)
- 41. **Admit/Deny.** Plaintiff reported that the boots that DiPompo had <u>chosen</u> to provide in a too-small size were too tight. (Pl. Decl. ¶ 3.)
- 42. **Admit/Deny.** Although a copy of the request was apparently faxed to DiPompo on June 7, 2000, there is no reason to believe that the original request had not been transmitted on May 11, 2000.
- 43. **Admit.**
- 44. **Admit/Deny.** Although, at the time that he drafted the complaint, plaintiff believed the date to be July 6, 2000, it appears from the medical records that it was actually approximately July 17, 2000. (Bates 241.)
- 45. **Admit.**

46.	Admit.		
47.	Admit.		
48.	Admit.		
49.	Admit.		
50.	Admit.		
51.	Admit/Deny. DiPompo left plaintiff with a <u>defective</u> orthotic. (Pl. Tr. at 76-77.)		
52.	Deny. The deposition pages cited by defendant do not support his statement of fact.		
53.	Admit.		
54.	Deny. The cited document states that <u>DiPompo</u> (not plaintiff) deemed the orthosis		
satisfactory.			
55.	Admit.		
56.	Admit.		
57.	Admit.		
58.	Admit.		
59.	Admit.		
60.	Admit.		
61.	Deny. The deposition pages cited by defendant do not support his statement of fact.		
62.	Deny. The deposition pages cited by defendant do not provide a timeframe.		
63.	Deny. The deposition pages cited by defendant do not support his statement of fact.		
64.	Deny. The cited document contains a self-serving <u>claim</u> by DiPompo that all of plaintiff's		
compla	aints had been alleviated. Plaintiff's complaints were <u>never</u> alleviated. (Pl. Decl. ¶¶ 7, 8; Pl.		
Tr. at 76-77.)			
65.	Admit.		
	T		

Dated: New York, New York December 15, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

/s

Rose M. Weber (RW 0515) Attorney for Plaintiff 225 Broadway, Suite 1608 New York, NY 10007 (212) 748-3355